

United States Patent and Trademark Office

all

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Bux 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1456
www.uspic.gcv

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/043,023	01/08/2002	Willys Choi	67,200-616	6137
7	590 09/24/2003			
TUNG & ASSOCIATES Suite 120 838 W. Long Lake Road			EXAMINER	
			PHAM, HOA Q	
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48302			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2877	
			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/043,023	CHOI, WILLYS		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Hoa Q. Pham	2877		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·			
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	on of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 January 2002</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
		s have been received			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: .					

Application/Control Number: 10/043,023

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. Drawings filed on 1/8/02 are accepted by examiner.

Specification

- 2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: page 14, line
- 8, the reference number "65" should be changed to -64--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Urayama et al (JP-40-5217881 A) in view of Nakano et al (6,613,588).

Regarding claims 1 and 17, Urayama et al discloses a resist coater with an evaluation unit for detecting dust quantity of resist film on the wafer which has all the features of the present invention except that the coating device is automatically terminated when the amount of the dust is exceeded a predetermined level. However, such a feature is known in the art as taught by Nakano et al. Nakano et al teaches that the plasma processing device with a particle detection optical system in which the

Application/Control Number: 10/043,023

Art Unit: 2877

etching operation can be halted if the total number of particles generated exceeds a reference value (column 9, lines 21-27 and column 18, lines 44-52). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to include in Urayama et al a terminating system as taught by Nakano et al. The rationale for this modification would have arisen from the fact that using such system would alert the operator know when the processing chamber need to be cleaned or maintenance.

Regarding claims 2, 5, and 19, see abstract of Urayama et al for laser generator (10).

Regarding claim 3, the laser source is attached to the coater cup (see figure 1).

Regarding claims 4 and 18, see Urayama's abstract for resist coater.

Regarding claims 6, 7,12-13, 20-21, 26-27, see Urayama's abstract for a laser source (10) and a laser detector (11).

Regarding claims 8 and 22, see figure 1 of Urayama for spindle (15).

Regarding claims 9 and 23, see Urayama's abstract for detecting dust.

Regarding claims 10, 11, and 24-25, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the particle detection system of Urayama et al for detecting the photoresist dust as a result of a wafer spin coating operation because the device would function in the same manner.

Regarding claims 14-16 and 28-30, Urayama et al does not explicitly teach the use of a transparent coater cup. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a transparent coater cup because no matter what type of material of the cup the device would function in the same manner.

Art Unit: 2877

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Following references relate to dust inspection device or photoresist coater cup: JP-11-306646, Yonaha (6,251,487), Sato (5,993,547), and Fujimoto (5,939,139).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoa Q. Pham whose telephone number is (703) 308-4808. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM to 5 PM, Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G. Font can be reached on (703) 308-4881. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Hoa Q. Pham Primary Examiner Art Unit 2877

HP

September 12, 2003